The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 23

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte DAVID R. FERGUSON, AN N. HONG, DANI SULEMAN, and GREGORY L. WHITTEMORE

MAILED

APR 2 9 2002

Application No. 08/947,435

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

A Reply Brief (Paper No. 21) was entered July 9, 2001, in response to an Examiner's Answer (Paper No. 20) entered May 8, 2001.

In accordance with the revision effective December 1, 1997, Title 37, *Code of Federal Regulations*, § 1.193 states:

(b)(1)... The primary examiner must either acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief or withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief.

In view of this revision, the examiner must reopen prosecution in order to respond to the Reply Brief (Paper No. 21). Otherwise, if the examiner chooses not to respond to the arguments

Appeal No. 2002-0799 Application 08/947,435

presented in the Reply Brief, the examiner needs to acknowledge receipt and entry of the Reply Brief and withdraw the Supplemental Reply Brief (Paper No. 22).¹

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the examiner for consideration and proper response to Paper No. 20, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Kimberly Jordan

Program and Resource Administrator

(703) 308-9797

James W. Peterson Burns, Doane, Swecker and Mathis P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

dem

¹ Listed as "Reply Brief noted" on the CONTENTS portion of the administrative file.